Economic, Regeneration Tourism and Transport

DDO IECT SCODING

Project Development Fund



Project Scoping & Budget Holder Approval Form

SECTION A - PROJECT	SECTION A – PROJECT SCOPING					
NYC Area Constituency Committee Name	Thirsk and Malton					
Project Name	North Bay to South Bay Cycle Route Development					
Description of Project Location	North Bay to South Bay, a 1.9 mile route with footpaths but no existing cycling infrastructure					
NYC Division(s) in which the project is located	Scarborough					
	Name	Keisha Moore				
Project Lead Officer Details	Job Title	Senior Transport Planning Officer				
Troject Lead Officer Details	Email	Keisha.moore@northyorks.gov.uk				
	Telephone	01609 536441				
1. PROJECT DETAILS						
	The budget would be spent on identifying and developing an active travel route between Scarborough North Bay and South Bay which was requested as a priority by the local Member.					
Please outline why the budget is required and what are the current barriers to project development it will help overcome?	However, there are several challenges with developing this route further which mean that officers do not recommend this route is endorsed by the membership.					
	Firstly this route around the headland takes the user through a private road. This private road supplies a car park currently owned and operated by NYC. This may raise safety concerns due to the number of manoeuvres made by vehicles within the car					

park. This would amplify the need for a fully segregated cycle track without taking away from the already busy pavements. This would be prohibitive due to the available space for construction preventing a complete cohesive route. This also highlights a secondary issue due to the sheer volume of pedestrian traffic around the headland. There is already cycle parking to the north end of the headland and this would indicate that the headland itself is not a destination for cyclist but joining the north and south bay would be purely utilitarian. If this is the case then the route around the headland is not the most direct route and would not follow the key principles of LTN 1:20. Data shows that there are around 10 cycling trips per day taken on this route for leisure purposes and an average of 5 walking trips per day. The use case compared with the costs for delivery do not highlight value for money at this time. Simply laying the tarmac on this route would be around £700,000 and there would be additional costs for segregation of users, markings and signage. Further, the Sustrans National Cycle Network Route 1 keeps a route at the top of the cliff, however, when it was in the design stage Sustrans would have, if it could, used the North Bay-South Bay roads to give the route a much more coastal feel, however, that is not how it was designed likely due to accessibility issues presented on this route. Finally, it should be noted that the corridor connecting the north and south bays was considered during the development of the LCWIP and was not seen as carrying sufficient benefit at that time to develop into a full proposal and due to the restrictions would not be a significant opportunity for leisure trips. A feasibility study will not overcome the issues that surround the development of this route at the current time. Please detail what specific It is not recommended that this scheme is progressed at costs the budget will be spent this time on? Please describe the future It is not recommended that this scheme is progressed at this time project that this activity will help to unlock.

2. STRATEGIC FIT	
Detail how the project will contribute to the North Yorkshire Council 'Council Plan' and the Economic Growth Strategy or the Destination Management Plan	It is not recommended that this scheme is progressed at this time
(Reference should be made on how a future project will help deliver the respective strategies)	
3. LOCAL FIT	
Detail how this project meets local priorities including linkages with local regeneration plans and strategies.	It is not recommended that this scheme is progressed at this time
4. FINANCE	
Will the service area be making a financial contribution to the project development costs? If so, please detail.	It is not recommended that this scheme is progressed at this time
Please confirm the amount of money required. Please provide a breakdown of costs / estimates where available and how these have been calculated.	It is not recommended that this scheme is progressed at this time though it would cost in the region of £40,000 to develop a route of this size.
5. DELIVERY, TIMESCALES	AND MONITORING
What is the staffing resource within NYC required / how will it be resourced? Has the capacity to complete the activity been confirmed with the relevant service manager? Dependencies on other NYC services	It is not recommended that this scheme is progressed at this time

Please outline the anticipated timeframe for delivery of the activity? Please include details of how the activity will be procured (if required).	It is not recommended that this scheme is progressed at this time				
Can the proposed work to be funded delivered within the allocated financial year?	It is not recommended that this scheme is progressed at this time				
How will progress and the outcome of the project be reported to the ACC to aid effective monitoring?	It is not recommended that this scheme is progressed at this time				
6. BENEFITS					
What are the benefits of undertaking this work now?	It is not recommended that this scheme is progressed at this time				
What opportunities / estimated economic, social or environmental benefits could be derived for the future project outlined above?					
AREA COMMITTEE SIGN OFF					
ACC Meeting Date When Project Scope Agreed	Draft Minute Number				
Signed (ACC Chairman)	Date				

SECTION B - PROJECT EVALUATION

Using the details in the Economic, Regeneration, Tourism and Transport Project Development Fund Guidance Note please comment on how the proposed project meets the identified criteria for the Fund.

Pro	ject Name				
	SECTION	FIT WITH CRITERIA			
1	Project Details				
2	Strategic Fit				
3	Local Fit				
4	Finance				
5	Delivery, Timescales and Monitoring				
6	Benefits				
		Signed			
Evaluation Completed By		Name			
		Email Telephone			

SECTION C – BUDGET HOLDER (CORPORATE DIRECTOR) SIGN OFF							
NYC Area Constituency Committee		Scarborough and Whitby					
Project Name							
Lead Officer		Keisha Moore					
Requested Budget Allocated?		Yes / No	Value				
Signed							
Name							
Job Title	Corporate Director, Community Development						
Date							